UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,848 ′	10/01/2004	Poh Leong Er	1008.P03US/CKM/jcc	4299	
	LAWRENCE Y.D. HO & ASSOCIATES PTE LTD 30 BIDEFORD ROAD, #02-02, THONGSIA BUILDING			EXAMINER	
30 BIDEFORD				WALCZAK, DAVID J	
SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE	NGAPORE, 229922 NGAPORE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751		
e e			~ ~~		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		H				
· .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/509,848	ER, POH LEONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J. Walczak	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 October 2007</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	· ·					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,10-18,21 and 22 is/are pending ir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7, 10-18, 21, 22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applica rity documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

It is initially noted that claims 8, 9, 19 and 20 appear to have been canceled by the Applicant. However, these claims are indicated as being "withdrawn" (via the status identifiers). Any response to this action should indicate that claims 8, 9, 19 and 20 are canceled via the status identifier "canceled".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10 and 11 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wuelker. In regard to claim 1, Wuelker discloses a roller applicator comprised of a frustoconically shaped applicator 11 having two opposite ends, a coupling portion 21 disposed at one end and an external surface between the two ends wherein the applicator is "rotatable" at the coupling portion about a rotary axis (i.e., upon the loosening of nut 21, the applicator is capable of rotating upon the stem 16), a chamber within the applicator wherein the end bearing the coupling portion 21 has a planar cross-section (viewing Figure 2, the "end bearing the coupling portion 21" is the end of the roller on the right side of the drawing) smaller than a corresponding cross-

section of the other opposite end (the opposite end being the end of the roller shown on the left) and a absorbable member 25 mounted to the exterior surface. It is noted that statements of intended use, i.e., "A paint roller" and "for storing paint" do not lend any patentable structure to claims. Further, the Wuelker device is capable of storing and dispensing paint should a user so choose to employ the device. In regard to claim 2, a movement coupler 16 is detachably coupled to the coupling portion 21. In regard to claim 3, the movement coupler 16, defines a rotary coupling portion adapted to coact with the coupling portion for rotary movement about the axis (the coupling portion rotates with respect to the applicator). In regard to claim 5, the applicator has a closable inlet 28. In regard to claim 6, the inlet 28 is disposed at one of the ends. In regard to claim 7, the chamber has a permeable portion 27 coupled to the absorbable member 25. In regard to claim 10, the coupling portion is disposed centrally relative to the planar cross-section of the coupling end. In regard to claim 11, an "abutment member" 29 is mountable to one of the ends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 12-18, 21 and 22 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wuelker in view of Baril. In regard to claim 4, although the

movement coupler 16 is not a pivotal connecting portion which coacts with a pivot mount of a handle, attention is directed to the Baril reference, which discloses another roller applicator wherein the movement coupler 32 includes a pivotal connecting portion 26 which coacts with a pivot mount (the bolt connected via nut 34) on a handle 20 in order to enable the roller to pivot with respect to the handle and thereby render the roller more controllable. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include such a pivoting handle into the movement coupler 16 of the Wuelker device in order to enable the roller to be more controllable. In regard to claims 12-18, 21 and 22, the above discussed combination of the Wuelker and Baril references discloses the claimed structure (as also discussed above).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/9/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant is apparently contending that the Wuelker reference since the coupling portion is not at an end of the roller that has a smaller planar cross-section than the opposite end. However, as discussed in detail above, the coupling portion 21 is clearly located at the smaller end of the conical roller (see Figure 2).

The Applicant further seems to contend that the Wuelker reference is not applicable in that stem is not rotatable relative to the cylinder (apparently, the Applicant is contending that the applicator is not rotatable at the coupling portion as claimed).

However, as discussed above, the limitation of the applicator being "rotatable" at the coupling portion is met by the Wuelker device in that, upon loosening of the nut 21, the applicator is capable of rotating at the coupling portion.

In regard to claim 12, the Applicant contends that the combination of Wuelker and Baril fail to disclose all of the claimed features. The Applicant has not, however, disclosed specifically which elements are not shown by Wuelker and Baril. It appears that the Applicant is contending that the Baril reference does not disclose the pivot connection as claimed. As discussed above, however, the pivot connection of Baril discloses a handle 20 having a pivot mount (the bolt connected to the nut 34) and a movement coupler 32 detachably coupled to a coupling portion 50 and the pivot mount. Also as discussed above, such a pivoting handle can obviously be mounted to the movement coupler 16 of Wuelker.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-4895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30- 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huson Gregory can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 11/16/07